

## **CATEX CHECKLIST**

CHECKLIST OF EXTRAORDINARY CIRCUMSTANCES & SENSITIVE RESOURCES IN SUPPORT OF A CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION (CATEX) DETERMINATION FOR A DENALI COMMISSION PROJECT

DENALI COMMISSION PROJECT		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Program Partner Name Nunakauyak Traditional Council	Project Name Boardwalk/Bridge	roject Name oardwalk/Bridge Improvement			
Location PO Box 37048 Toksook Bay, Alaska 99637	Project # 01536-00	Subproject #			
Identify Categorical Exclusion  The proposed project is identified in the Denali Commission list of categorical exclusions in 45 CFR Appendix A to Part 900, paragraph(s) B1.					
Project Description (2-3 sentences maximum)  Replace all existing boardwalks within the community. the route from Toksook Bay to Nightmute.	Repair and Improv	e three (2) main haid			
the route from Toksook Bay to Nightmute.  Instructions  The information you provide below will assist the Denali Commission in					

The information you provide below will assist the Denali Commission in making its determination as to whether a Categorical Exclusion (CATEX) is appropriate or further environmental analysis is required for the proposed project. Please place a checkmark in the blank next to the numbered items indicating your response on that issue. A checkmark in the "Yes" block does not automatically preclude the development of the proposed project. It simply means further assessment is needed. Should you have any remarks that may indicate the need to prepare an Environmental Analysis (EA) or an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), attach a brief explanation of the circumstances for further evaluation. Adverse affects to environmentally sensitive resources must be resolved through another environmental process, e.g., coordination or consultation under the Coastal Zone Management Act or National Historic Preservation Act, before being categorically excluded. Attachments are allowed and encouraged.

Extraordinary Circumstances		mination	Basis for determination	
		No		
Public Health, Safety or Environment  Will the proposed project have a reasonably likelihood of significant impacts on public health, public safety, or the environment?		x	Public safety will improve when boardwalks are replaced and bridge crossing by vehicles will also improve safe crossing.	
2. Controversy on Environmental Grounds			Pedestrians walking on	
Will the proposed project have effects on the environment that are likely to be highly controversial or involve unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources?		x	boardwalk will be safer and vehicles crossing bridge will be safer.	
3. Uncertain, Unique or Unknown Risks  Will the proposed project have possible effects on the human environment that are highly uncertain, involve unique or unknown risks, or are scientifically controversial?		×	All residents in Toksook Bay use the boardwalks knowing it is safe to use. All commuters on vehicle using the bridge crossings will be a lot safer.	
4. Precedent for Future Action			No precedent will be	
Will the proposed action establish a precedent for future action or represent a decision in principle about future actions with potentially significant environmental effects?		х	established by this project.	
5. Cumulative Impacts			There will be an investigation	
Will the proposed project relate to other actions with individually insignificant but cumulatively significant environmental effects?		x	There will be no impact, all residents and commuters will benefit from this project.	

		and a supplier of the supplier			
6. Scope and Size	1		This project is a normal		
Will the proposed project have a greater size and scope than is normal for the category of action?	al 🗆	×	everyday uco and for		
7. Environmental Conditions			There will be no		
Will the proposed project have the potential to degrade already existing poor environmental conditions or to initiate a degrading influence activity or effect in areas not already significantly modified from their natural condition?	1 1 1	x	environmental impact. All existing boardwalks within the community will be replaced and 3 bridge will be repaired and improved.		
8. Environmental Justice			All resident from Toksook		
Will the proposed project have a disproportionately high and adverse effect on low income or minority populations?		×	Bay, Nightmute and others coming into and out will		
Ref: Executive Order 12898, Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low-Income Populations			benefit on improved boardwalks and bridges.		
9. Indian Sacred Sites			No Indian Sacred Sites in		
Will the proposed project limit access to or ceremonial use of Indian sacred sites by Indian religious practitioners or adversely affect the physical integrity of such sacred sites? (EO 13007)			and outside of the community will be affected. The physical integrity of any such sacred sites will be unaffected.		
"Indian tribe" means an Indian or Alaska Native tribe, band, nation, pueblo, village, or community that the Secretary of the Interior acknowledges to exist as an Indian tribe pursuant to Public Law No. 103-454, 108 Stat. 4791, and "Indian" refers to a member of such an Indian tribe. (EO 13007)		x			
Ref: Executive Order 13007, Indian Sacred Sites					
Sensitive Resources		pact ential	Basis for determination		
	Yes	No			
10. Section 106 Historic Properties			No historical properties are		
Will the proposed project adversely affect properties in, or eligible for inclusion in, the National Register of Historic Places?		×	involved in the project. The boardwalk replacement will take place within the		
Ref: National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (16 U.S.C. 470 et seq.), as amended. (See 36 CFR 800, Protection of Historic Properties).			community and the bridge repair improvement will take place at their location.		
11. Endangered Species			No endangered species will		
Will the proposed project adversely affect species listed, or proposed to be listed on the Endangered or Threatened Species List, or the specific critical habitat?		x	be affected by this project. The boardwalk and bridge improvement will take place within the community and at		
Ref: Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.), as amended. (See 50 CFR part 402).			the existing location of the bridges.		
12. Historic or Cultural Resources			No historical and cultural		
the proposed action adversely impact the historic and cultural ronment of the Nation?		×	resources are involved or affected by this project.		
Ref: Executive Order 11593, Protection and enhancement of the cultural environment.					
3. Park, Recreation or Refuge Lands		х	No Park, recreation or refuge		

Will the proposed project have significant adverse direct or indirect effects on National or State Park, Recreation or Refuge lands?		lands will be affected by this project.
14. Wilderness Areas		There is no wilderness area
Will the proposed project adversely impact a wilderness area?		within the project area
Ref: Wilderness Act of 1964 (16 U.S.C. 1131 et seq.), as amended.		
15. Wild and Scenic Rivers		No wild and scenic rivers will
Is the proposed project a "Water Resources Project" that will impact a wild, scenic or recreational river area and create conditions inconsistent with the character of the river?		be impacted by this project. The bridge improvements will not affect the creeks.
Ref: Wild & Scenic Rivers Act (16 U.S.C. 1271 et seq.), as amended.		
16. National Natural Landmarks		There is no national natural
Will the proposed project impact a National Natural Landmark?	$\boxtimes$	landmarks within the project area.
Ref: Historic Sites Act of 1935 (16 U.S.C. 461 et seq.), as amended.		
17. Sole Source Aquifers		
If the proposed action would not have adverse effects on this resource, it may be considered that there is no Impact Potential.		According to the EPA website, as of 08/05/04, there are no sole source
Ref: Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974, (42 U.S.C. 201, 300 et seq., and 21 U.S.C. 349), as amended. (See 40 CFR part 149).		aquifers in Alaska.
18. Prime Farmlands		There are no Farmlands
Will the proposed project convert significant agricultural lands to non-agricultural uses?	$\boxtimes$	within the project area.
Ref: Farmlands Protection Policy Act of 1981 (7 U.S.C. 4201 et seq.), as amended. (See 7 CFR part 658).	Michigan de se esta de la constante de la cons	
19. Wetlands		There are no wetlands within
Will the proposed project adversely affect wetlands or will there be construction in wetlands, except in conformance with a U.S. Corps of Engineers Section 404 Permit?		the project area.
Ref: Executive Order 11990, Protection of Wetlands		
20. Floodplains		There are no flood plains
Will the proposed project involve construction in a floodplain or impact floodplain development?	$\boxtimes$	within the project area.
Ref: Executive Order 11988, Floodplain Management		
21. National Monuments		No national monuments will
Will proposed project impact a National Monument?		be affected by this project.
22. Ecologically Significant or Critical Areas	-	No ecologically significant or
Will the proposed project impact an ecologically significant or critical area?	$\boxtimes$	critical areas will be affected by this project.
23. Other Known Reasons	<b>F</b> 7	No environmental
Is an environmental assessment required for other known reasons?		assessment is required for this project.

Additional Comments					
PREPARED BY					
Date	Typed or Printed Name and Title	Signature			
Aug 1, 18	Harry Tulik	A Mk			
Organization: Nuna	kauyak Traditional Council				
DENALI COMMISSION APPROVING OFFICIAL					
Based upon the categorical exclusion identified above, this completed checklist and attachments, I certify to the best of my knowledge, that the information provided above is complete and correct, and that:					
A categorical exclusion determination is appropriate for this project		Yes: 🗹	No:		
Further environmental analysis is required		Yes:	No:		
Augile, 2018	John Whittington  Designated Approving Official	Signature What	tington		

## **Additional Notes and Instructions**

1. The basis for determination and documentation information must be traceable and establish the factual data to support the response to each question. Types of information to be included in this column are outlined below.

<u>Printed Materials</u>: These are useful sources of detailed information materials such as comprehensive land use plans, zoning maps, city master plans, environmental baseline surveys, environmental assessments, environmental impact statements and studies. Information must be current and must represent accepted methodologies, i.e., not so old that changing conditions make them irrelevant. Citations for the material should include enough information so that an outside reviewer can locate the specific reference, e.g., author, document title, publication date, and page number.

Examples include the Record of Decision, Finding of Suitability to Transfer, Finding of Suitability to Lease, General Services Administration (GSA) Property Suitability Determination Form, Federal Property Information Checklist, Environmental Baseline Surveys, Preliminary Assessment Reports, Environmental Assessments, draft or final Environmental Impact Statements, and City/County master plan or zoning map.

Possible sources of the above documents include as appropriate, GSA, Department of Housing and Urban Development, the property owner, military base environmental office, local governmental organizations, local public library, and City/County planning office.

Personal Contacts: Personal contacts are useful when the individual contacted is an accepted authority on the subject(s),

and the interview is documented. Supporting documentation should include the name, organization, and title of the person contacted and the date of the conversation. Examples include EPA officials, EPA hotlines, officials from state or local planning offices and environmental offices, or an environmental officer of an agency.

<u>Site Visits</u>: A site visit does not usually involve any testing or measurements. A site visit is an important method for initial screening of the issues, but for some of the categories it may be inadequate for final evaluation, Supporting documentation should include date of the site visit, by whom, and the supporting observation.

2. The agency must include pollution prevention considerations in the siting, design, construction, renovation, and operation of the project or facility. The questionnaire items on sedimentation and erosion control measures and storm water control plan are also pollution prevention related.